

State Water Resources Control Board

October 10, 2025

Ann Carroll
General Counsel
Department of Water Resources
ann.carroll@water.ca.gov

Re: Request for Additional Information to Supplement the Administrative Record in the Hearing on the Proposed Delta Conveyance Project

Dear Ms. Carroll,

By this letter, the Administrative Hearings Office (AHO) of the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board or Board) requests additional information to clarify and supplement information in the administrative record for the ongoing Delta Conveyance Project (DCP) proceeding on the pending petitions to change water right Permits 18478, 16479, 16481, and 16482. The requested modeling results are necessary for the Board to determine appropriate Delta flow criteria for the DCP as required by the Delta Reform Act of 2009 (Delta Reform Act), assess consistency with proposed updates to the Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary (Bay-Delta Plan), consider avoidance of any potential unreasonable effects on fish and wildlife or other uses protected by the public trust, and evaluate the potential benefits and impacts of operation of the proposed DCP under possible future climate conditions.

Available Model Runs and Information

DWR prepared and submitted to the AHO, by the deadline for case-in-chief evidence on January 21, 2025, testimony and exhibits based on model runs relied upon in the 2023 Final Environmental Impact Report for the DCP (Final EIR). These model runs incorporated operations in place in 2023 for the State Water Project (SWP), including operations consistent with the 2019 Long Term Operations (LTO) of the SWP and

Central Valley Project and the associated 2019 federal Biological Opinions (BiOps) and 2020 Incidental Take Permit (ITP).

DWR and the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation updated the LTO in 2024 and the State and federal fish and wildlife agencies issued new Biological Opinions and an ITP in November and December 2024, and the California Department of Fish and Wildlife issued the 2025 DCP ITP in February 2025. These permits include different operating constraints from those incorporated into the model runs relied upon in the Final EIR. On February 21, 2025, DWR submitted to the AHO revised expert witness testimony and exhibits based on updated modeling data that incorporated these new regulatory requirements, labeled as the Updated Baseline and ITP DCP scenarios (collectively, ITP Modeling; see Exh. DWR-0104R). The ITP Modeling scenarios also incorporate flows and operations to reflect implementation of the Healthy Rivers and Landscapes proposal (also known as the Voluntary Agreements (VAs)). The ITP Modeling scenarios centered on the year 2020 and did not include climate adjusted model runs centered on the year 2040, as was included in the model runs relied upon in the Final EIR.

On March 7, 2025, DWR submitted to the AHO the underlying technical files for the ITP Modeling. On July 11, 2025, DWR submitted a summary of the model output data for the ITP Modeling in a form and level of detail equivalent to that included in the Final EIR, as directed by the AHO.

Delta Flow Criteria

Under the Delta Reform Act, any approval of the change petitions for the DCP must include “appropriate Delta flow criteria” informed by the flow criteria necessary to protect the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta (Delta) ecosystem. (Wat. Code, § 85086.) To protect native fish species, the flow criteria developed by the Board in 2010 included a net Delta outflow criterion of 75 percent of the total unimpaired flow into the Delta, between January and June, and an inflow criterion of 75 percent of unimpaired Sacramento River flow at Rio Vista from November through June. (AHO-057, pp. 98-103, 114-117.) In developing the 2010 flow criteria, the Board did not take into consideration and balance competing demands for flows in the Delta to satisfy other beneficial uses, including municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses. (*Id.* at p. 2-3.)

The Board is currently updating the Bay-Delta Plan for the reasonable protection of fish and wildlife beneficial uses in the Bay-Delta watershed. This process includes consideration and balancing of all beneficial uses of water. In July 2025, the Board released draft updates to the Bay-Delta Plan, which would establish a requirement for

water rights that are not subject to approved VAs that “inflows from Sacramento/Delta tributaries shall be maintained at 55 percent of unimpaired flow year-round on a 7-day running average to achieve the narrative inflow objective.” (July 2025 Draft Bay-Delta Plan, p. 46.) The draft plan also would establish an inflow-based Delta outflow objective that would provide for required inflows to be provided as Delta outflows. (*Id.* at pp. 57-58.) The July 2025 Draft Bay-Delta Plan identifies water supply adjustments that would apply to the inflow requirements for existing water rights, but the draft plan does not specify whether those adjustments would apply to new water rights or changes to existing water rights. The draft plan provides that in future water right actions, the Board will determine based on the record in individual adjudicative proceedings what requirements should be imposed “to ensure that the use of water is consistent with and supports the salmon protection, fish viability, inflow, inflow-based Delta outflow, and interior Delta flow objectives.” (*Id.* at pp. 46, 64.)

The AHO has determined that the administrative record developed to date is inadequate to inform the State Water Board’s decision concerning what Delta flow criteria would be appropriate for the DCP, as required by the Delta Reform Act, and to evaluate requirements that may be necessary to ensure consistency with the proposed updates to the Bay-Delta Plan. Modeling of DCP operational scenarios with a range of possible bypass flow requirements will inform the Board’s consideration of the trade-offs between different levels of protection for fish and wildlife in the Delta and the water supply benefits of the DCP, and is relevant to the Board’s findings whether the proposed changes to DWR’s water right permits would unreasonably affect fish and wildlife, appropriately protect public trust resources, be in the public interest, and be consistent with the Bay-Delta Plan applicable at the time the Board acts on the petitions.

Range of Climate Scenarios

DWR states that one objective of the DCP is to “help address anticipated rising sea levels and other reasonably foreseeable consequences of climate change and extreme weather events.” (AHO-1004, p. 2-2 [Final EIR, Section 2.3, Project Purpose and Objectives].) However, the DCP ITP Modeling runs that DWR submitted in this proceeding did not incorporate future climate assumptions. Furthermore, the 2040 Central Tendency (2040 CT) climate scenario relied upon by DWR in the Final EIR predicts hotter and wetter conditions. (AHO-1198, p. 30A-5, Figure 30A-2 [Final EIR, Section 30A.1.1, Climate Change Assumptions].) DWR did not submit model runs for any future climate scenario with lower precipitation or streamflow than existing conditions, representing hotter and drier conditions in the Bay-Delta Watershed, even though DWR’s climate modeling identifies such conditions to be reasonably possible.

(DWR-00214, p. 5-38, Table 5-1 [Risk-Informed Future Climate Scenario Development for the State Water Project Delivery Capability Report].; LAND-60, pp. 7-63 to 7.77 [The State Water Project Delivery Capability Report 2023 (DCR), Section 7, SWP Water Delivery Capability Under Future Climate Change Conditions (DCR-Climate)].; FOR-103, pdf p. 4 [California's Water Supply Strategy; Adapting to a Hotter, Drier Future].)

Additional modeling of DCP operations that incorporate recent regulatory changes and a reasonable range of future climate conditions is necessary to inform the Board's findings and consideration of appropriate conditions on any approval of the proposed DCP. This information is necessary to identify a range of potential benefits and impacts of operation of the project, which is relevant to the Board's findings whether the proposed changes to DWR's water right permits may injure legal users of water or unreasonably affect fish and wildlife, appropriately protect public trust resources, and be in the public interest.

Direction to Submit Supplemental Information

In response to questions by the AHO, DWR stated that it is conducting CalSim 3 and DSM2 model runs for the Updated Baseline and DCP-ITP for 2043 and will submit model results on rebuttal. (2025-09-17 AHO email to DWR; 2025-09-19 DWR email to AHO (modeling response).) DWR indicated that it also intends to conduct CalSim 3, but not DSM2, model runs for the Updated Baseline and DCP-ITP for 2085, and will submit those model results on rebuttal. DWR stated that it has not conducted any model runs incorporating unimpaired flows as bypass flows requirements with the Updated Baseline and DCP-ITP. Because it appears that the information gaps in the record described above may not otherwise be addressed by the parties on rebuttal, I direct DWR to conduct and submit supplemental modeling and associated results, as detailed below.

The AHO directs DWR to submit by the deadline for submission of rebuttal exhibits, CalSim 3 and DSM2 model runs and summaries of model results in a form and level of detail equivalent to the May 23, 2025 Supplemental Information Request for ITP Modeling, with and without DCP, including technical model files, for the following scenarios:

1. Model runs incorporating a percentage of unimpaired Delta outflow (e.g., Net Delta Outflow Index (NDOI) or other appropriate Delta outflow measurement) as bypass flow requirements at the proposed DCP north Delta pumping facilities for net increases in diversions due to the proposed DCP Project, ranging from 35 percent to 65 percent of unimpaired flow in 5 percent

increments. DWR may submit model runs in 10 percent increments if DWR demonstrates that linear interpolation of data between modeled 10 percent increments is appropriate. The bypass flow requirements should be formulated to prohibit net increases in total diversions using the proposed DCP north Delta pumping facilities and the existing south Delta pumping facilities, relative to without DCP conditions, when the specified percentage of unimpaired Delta outflow is not met. The modeling should assume that the percentage of unimpaired flow is a limitation on additional diversions due to DCP but should not assume that changes in reservoir operations or other changes to operations would be made to achieve the specified percentage of unimpaired flow. The modeling can assume that pumping is shifted from the existing south Delta pumping facilities to the proposed DCP pumping facilities when the specified percentage of unimpaired flow is not met provided that there are no net increases in total diversions using the two facilities compared to without DCP conditions on a monthly basis. These model runs shall otherwise have the same assumptions as the ITP modeling. These model runs shall use the 2040 central tendency (CT), or similar condition, as the climate scenario. The modeling results should be accompanied by a summary of the modeling assumptions and a detailed description of the technical approach used.

2. Climate adjusted model runs centered around the year 2040, or similar condition, using the CT and the 75th percentile level-of-concern scenario (75-LOC), as described in the DCR (LAND-60, pp. 7-64 to 7-65 [DCR, Section 7, SWP Delivery Capability Under Future Climate Change Conditions].) and the DCR-Climate. (DWR-00214, pp. 5-31 to 5-39 [DCR-Climate, Section 5.3.3, Combining Future Climate States and System Consequence Response and Selection of Future Climate States for Multiple "Levels-Of-Concern"].) These model runs shall otherwise have the same assumptions as the ITP modeling. DWR may propose an alternative to the 75-LOC scenario, subject to AHO review and approval.

3. Climate adjusted model runs centered around the year 2070, 2085, or similar period, that DWR believes are representative of longer-term future climate conditions. These runs shall use the CT, as described in Exhibits LAND-60 and DWR-214. These runs shall otherwise have the same assumptions as the ITP modeling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "Nicole Kuenzi". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Nicole Kuenzi
Presiding Hearing Officer
Administrative Hearings Office
State Water Resources Control Board

Attachments:

- Attachment 1 – Service List

**ATTACHMENT 1
SERVICE LIST**

Ann Carroll
Collin Chandler
Maya Ferry Stafford
Stefanie Morris
Kenneth Bogdan
Christopher Butcher
Department of Water Resources
Ann.Carroll@water.ca.gov
Collin.Chandler@water.ca.gov
Maya.Stafford@water.ca.gov
smorris@calnevwawaterlaw.com
Kenneth.Bogdan@water.ca.gov
Christopher.Butcher@water.ca.gov

Russell van Loben Sels
Amistad Ranches
revanlobensels@gmail.com

Kelly Taber
Janie Collier
Ellen M. Moskal
Gloria Loomis
Yolanda De La Cruz
Pennie MacPherson
Danielle Fatta
Somach Simmons & Dunn
ktaber@somachlaw.com
jcollier@somachlaw.com
emoskal@somachlaw.com
gloomis@somachlaw.com
ydelacruz@somachlaw.com
pmacpherson@somachlaw.com
dfatta@somachlaw.com
Attorneys for Byron-Bethany Irrigation District

Michael E. Vergara
Alyson E. Ackerman
Downey Brand LLP
mvergara@downeybrand.com
aackerman@downeybrand.com
Attorneys for Byron-Bethany Irrigation District

Adam Keats
Law Office of Adam Keats, Pc
adam@keatslaw.org
Attorney for California Water Impact Network

Chris Shutes
Eric Woodruff
Jessica Zimmer
California Sportfishing Protection Alliance (CSPA)
blancapaloma@msn.com
ewoodruff@calsport.org
jessica@calsport.org

Barbara Vlamis
AquAlliance
barbarav@aqualliance.net

David Fries
San Joaquin Audubon Society
dfries.audubon@gmail.com

Roger B. Moore
Law Office of Roger B. Moore
rbm@landwater.com
Attorney for California Water Impact Network

S. Dean Ruiz
John Herrick
Dante J. Nomellini, Sr.
Dante J. Nomellini, Jr.
Mohan, Harris, Ruiz LLP
dean@mohanlaw.net
dean@sdeltawater.net
jherlaw@aol.com
john@sdeltawater.net
ngmplcs@pacbell.net
dantejr@pacbell.net
brettgbaker@gmail.com
Attorneys for Central Delta Water Agency, South Delta Water Agency, Heritage Land Co., and Rudy Mussi Investment L.P.

Matthew Emerick
Law Offices of Matthew Emerick
matthew@mlelaw.com

Aaron Ferguson
Kelley M. Taber
Casey A. Shorrock
William Burke
Gloria Loomis
Crystal Rivera
Pennie MacPherson
Michelle Chester
Danielle Fatta
Somach Simmons & Dunn, PC
aferguson@somachlaw.com
ktaber@somachlaw.com
cshorrock@somachlaw.com
burkew@sacounty.gov
gloomis@somachlaw.com
crivera@somachlaw.com
pmacpherson@somachlaw.com
mchester@somachlaw.com
dfatta@somachlaw.com
*Attorneys for Sacramento County, and
Sacramento County Water Agency*

Wes Miliband
Miliband Water Law
wes.miliband@mwaterlaw.com
*Attorney for City of Sacramento and Diablo
Water District*

Osha Meserve
Soluri Meserve, A Law Corporation
osha@semlawyers.com
legal@semlawyers.com
*Attorney for County of Contra Costa, Contra
Costa County Water Agency, County of San
Joaquin, County of Solano, David J. Elliot &
Sons/Stillwater Orchards, Frank Loretz,
Friends of Stone Lakes National Wildlife
Refuge, Local Agencies of the North Delta,
and Wurster Ranches, LP*

Thomas H. Keeling
Freeman Firm
tkeeling@freemanfirm.com
Attorney for San Joaquin County

Aaron Ferguson
Kelley M. Taber
Ellen M. Moskal
Casey A. Shorrock
Mel Lytle
Lori Asuncion
Danielle Fatta
Somach Simmons & Dunn
aferguson@somachlaw.com
ktaber@somachlaw.com
emoskal@somachlaw.com
cshorrock@somachlaw.com
mel.lytle@stocktonca.gov
lori.asuncion@stocktonca.gov
gloomis@somachlaw.com
crivera@somachlaw.com
pmacpherson@somachlaw.com
dfatta@somachlaw.com
Attorneys for the City of Stockton

Protestant County of San Joaquin
Kirnpreet Kaur Virk
Deputy County Counsel
Office of the County Counsel
County of San Joaquin
kvirk@sjgov.org

Jennifer Spaletta
Stoel Rives, LLP
500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600
Sacramento, CA 95814
jennifer.spaletta@stoel.com

Protestant County of Contra Costa and the
Contra Costa County Water Agency
Contra Costa County
Contra Costa County Water Agency
c/o Ryan Hernandez
Department of Conservation and
Development
Ryan.Hernandez@dcd.cccounty.us

Thomas L. Geiger, County Counsel
Stephen Siptroth, Assistant Co. Counsel
Contra Costa County Counsel's Office
Stephen.Siptroth@cc.cccounty.us

Protestant County of Solano
Bernadette Curry, County Counsel
Holly E. Tokar, Deputy County Counsel
Solano County
BSCurry@SolanoCounty.com
HETokar@SolanoCounty.com

Dan Whaley
Delta Communities Inc.
Whaley500@yahoo.com

Dan Muelrath
Diablo Water District
dmuelrath@diablowater.org

Deirdre Des Jardins
ddj@cah2oresearch.com

Jon Salmon
East Bay Municipal Utility District
Jon.salmon@ebmud.com

Ellison, Schneider, Harris & Donlan LLP
kwb@eslawfirm.com
Attorney for East Contra Costa Irrigation District

Brian Poulsen
Rachel Sarge
El Dorado Irrigation District
bpoulsen@eid.org
rsarge@eid.org

Amber McDowell
Farm Bureau Delta Caucus
Sacramento County Farm Bureau
executivedirector@sacfarmbureau.org

Michael A. Brodsky
Law Offices of Michael A. Brodsky
michael@brodskylaw.net
Attorney for Hood Community Council and Save the California Delta Alliance

Alexis K. Stevens
Ellen M. Moskal
Kelley M. Taber
Danielle Fatta
Somach Simmons & Dunn
astevens@somachlaw.com
emoskal@somachlaw.com
ktaber@somachlaw.com
crivera@somachlaw.com
pmacpherson@somachlaw.com
dfatta@somachlaw.com
Attorneys for Naglee Burk Irrigation District and Pescadero Reclamation District No. 2058

Kevin O'Brien
Brian Hamilton
Austin Cho
Downey Brand LLP
bhamilton@downeybrand.com
acho@downeybrand.com
dfillon@downeybrand.com
kobrien@downeybrand.com
Attorneys for North Delta Water Agency and associated Reclamation Districts

Robert Cheng
Coachella Valley Water District
rcheng@cvwd.org

Aaron Ferguson
Kelley M. Taber
Ellen M. Moskal
Casey A. Shorrock
Diane McElhern
Danielle Fatta
Somach Simmons & Dunn, PC
aferguson@somachlaw.com
ktaber@somachlaw.com
emoskal@somachlaw.com
cshorrock@somachlaw.com
mcelhernd@saccounty.gov
gloomis@somachlaw.com
crivera@somachlaw.com
pmacpherson@somachlaw.com
dfatta@somachlaw.com
Attorneys for Sacramento Area Sewer District

E. Robert Wright
Caty Wagner
Molly Culton
Sierra Club California
bwrightatty@gmail.com
Caty.Wagner@sierraclub.org
Molly.Culton@sierraclub.org

John Buse
Harrison Beck
Center for Biological Diversity
jbuse@biologicaldiversity.org
hbeck@biologicaldiversity.org

Jann Dorman
Keiko Mertz
Gary Bobker
Don Mooney
Jason Flanders
Friends of the River
janndorman@friendsoftheriver.org
keiko@friendsoftheriver.org
gbobker@friendsoftheriver.org
dbmooneylaw@gmail.com
jrf@atalawgroup.com

Howard Penn
Planning and Conservation League
howard@pcl.org

Regina Chichizola
Save California Salmon
regina@californiasalmon.org

Emily Pappalardo
DCC Engineering Co., Inc
Epappalardo@dcceng.net
Representative for Steamboat Resort

Philip J. Pogledich
County of Yolo
Philip.pogledich@yolocounty.gov

Eric Buescher
Christie Ralston
San Francisco Baykeeper
eric@baykeeper.org
christie@baykeeper.org

Clavey Wendt
OARS California Rafting (OARS)
claveywendt@gmail.com

Kevin Wolf
Restoring the Stanislaus River
kevinjwolf@gmail.com

Dan Kelly
Elise M. Nelson
Placer County Water Agency
dkelly@pcwa.net
enelson@pcwa.net

Stephanie Safdi
Danna Castro-Galindo
Terra Baer
Stephanie Prufer
Justin Saint-Loubert Bie
Naji Thompson
Environmental Justice Law and
Advocacy Clinic, Yale Law School
stephanie.safdi@ylsclinics.org
danna.castrogalindo@ylsclinics.org
terra.baer@YLSClinics.org
stephanie.prufer@ylsclinics.org
justin.saint-loubert-bie@ylsclinics.org
naji.thompson@ylsclinics.org
*Counsel for Buena Vista Rancheria of Me-
Wuk Indians, Little Manila Rising, Restore
the Delta, Shingle Springs Band of Miwok
Indians, Winnemem Wintu Tribe*

Charles Center
Camp Lotus
charlesmcenter@gmail.com

Scott Armstrong
All-Outdoors California Whitewater
Rafting
scott@aorafting.com

Isaac Ingram
American River Touring Association
isaac@arta.org

Theresa Lorejo-Simsiman
American Whitewater
theresa@americanwhitewater.org

Keith Miller
California Canoe and Kayak
cckjefe@gmail.com

Nathan Rangel
California Outdoors
nathanjrangel@gmail.com

Brian Jobson
Foothill Conservancy
jobsonbrian@hotmail.com

Scott Underwood
Mother Lode River Center
scott@malode.com

Marty McDonnell
Sierra Mac River Trips
marty@sierramac.com

Aaron Zettler-Mann
South Yuba River Citizens League (SYRCL)
aaron@yubariver.org

Patrick Koepele
Tuolumne River Trust
patrick@tuolumne.org

Stephen Smallcombe
Upper Merced River Watershed Council
kristinarylands@gmail.com

Sean Wirth
ECOS/Habitat 2020
office@ecosacramento.net

Barbara Barrigan-Parrilla
Cintia Cortez
Morgen Snyder
Restore the Delta
barbara@restorethedelta.org
cintia@restorethedelta.org
morgen@restorethedelta.org

Sherri Norris
California Indian Environmental Alliance
sherri@cieaweb.org

Glen Spain
Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's
Associations (PCFFA) and Institute for Fisheries
Resources (IFR)
fish1ifr@aol.com

Rebecca Akroyd
Rebecca L. Harms
Daniel J. O'Hanlon
Andreyaa Woo Nazal
Terri Whitman
Sherry Ramirez
Jessica Anton
rebecca.akroyd@sldmwa.org
rebecca.harms@sldmwa.org
dohanlon@kmtg.com
awoonazal@kmtg.com
twhitman@kmtg.com
sramirez@kmtg.com
janton@kmtg.com
San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority

Mike Savino
Save our Sandhill Cranes
yogoombah@yahoo.com

Adam Nickels
Lisa Holm
Anna Brathwaite
Amy Aufdemberge
Kate Laubach
United States Department of Interior
Bureau of Reclamation
anickels@usbr.gov
lholtm@usbr.gov
anna.brathwaite@sol.doi.gov
Amy.Aufdemberge@sol.doi.gov
Katharine.Laubach@usdoj.gov

Scott Slater
Elisabeth Esposito
Benjamin Markham
Mary Loum
Mack Carlson
Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP
sslater@bhfs.com
eesposito@bhfs.com
bmarkham@bhfs.com
mloum@bhfs.com
mcarlson@bhfs.com
Attorneys for Westlands Water District

Allison Febbo
Westlands Water District
afebbo@wwd.ca.gov

Miles Krieger
Steven Moctezuma
Best Best & Krieger
Miles.Krieger@bbklaw.com
steven.moctezuma@bbklaw.com
heather.mccoy@bbklaw.com
Attorneys for State Water Contractors

Scott Artis
Barry Nelson
Mariah Lauritzen
Golden State Salmon Association
Scott@goldenstatesalmon.org
barry@westernwaterstrategies.com
mariah@goldenstatesalmon.org

Peter Kiel
Vincent Goble
Aubrey Mauritson
Josh Fox
pkiel@cawaterlaw.com
vgoble@cawaterlaw.com
amauritson@visaliaalaw.com
jfox@visaliaalaw.com
Attorneys for Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District

Wade Crowfoot
California Natural Resources Agency
wade.crowfoot@resources.ca.gov

Adnan Anabtawi
Mojave Water Agency
aanabtawi@mojavewater.org

Steve L. Johnson
Desert Water Agency
sjohnson@dwa.org

James D. Ciampa
Kern County Water Agency
jciampa@lagerlof.com

Michael Plinski
San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District
MichaelP@sbvmwd.com

Anecita Agustinez
Carolyn Buckman
Karla Nemeth
California Department of Water Resources
anecita.agustinez@water.ca.gov
carolyn.buckman@water.ca.gov
karla.nemeth@water.ca.gov

Janet Barbieri
JB-Comm, Inc.
janet@jb-comm.com

Rebecca Sheehan
Metropolitan Water District
Rsheehan@mwdh2o.com

Antonio Alfaro
Santa Clara Valley Water District
AAlfaro@valleywater.org

Ed Stevenson
Alameda County Water District
ed.stevenson@acwd.com

Thomas Berliner
John Sweigard
Hicham ElTal
Bryan Kelly
Phillip McMurray
Merced Irrigation District
tmberliner@duanemorris.com
jsweigard@mercedid.org
heltal@mercedid.org
bkelly@mercedid.org
pmcmurray@mercedid.org

Lance Eckhart
San Geronio Pass Water Agency
LEckhart@sgpwa.com

Valerie Pryor
Sarah Palmer, Ph.D.
Zone 7 Water Agency
vpryor@zone7water.com
palmer.sarahL@gmail.com

Yvonne Perkins
Tribal Historic Preservation Officer
YD0122202005
Yocha Dehe Wintun Nation
THPO@yochadehe.gov

Janet Lake
Freeport Citizens Community (FCC)
rivercitybrand@gmail.com

Robert E. Donlan
Kevin W. Bursey
Danika E. Jones
Wanger Jones Helsey PC
rdonlan@wjhattorneys.com
kbursey@wjhattorneys.com
djones@wjhattorneys.com
*Counsel for El Dorado Irrigation District and
Placer County Water Agency*

Debie Rasmussen
Enterprise Rancheria
debier@enterpriserancheria.org